CO₂ LASER-INDUCED AND BCl₃-SENSITIZED DECOMPOSITION OF HEXAFLUOROACETONE. COMPARISON WITH HIGH TEMPERATURE THERMOCHEMISTRY

Josef POLA^a, Pavel ENGST^b and Milan HORÁK^b

 ^a Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 165 02 Prague 6-Suchdol and
 ^b J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 121 38 Prague 2

Received June 25th, 1981

The $c \approx CO_2$ laser-induced decomposition of hexafluoroacetone sensitized with boron trichloride (total pressure 5.3–8.0 kPa) yields along with perfluorinated hydrocarbons trifluoroacetyl fluoride, carbon monoxide and carbonyl fluoride. The same carbonyl compounds are also formed by conventional thermal decomposition of hexafluoroacetone on tungsten filament at temperatures $950-2\ 100^\circ$ C but their distribution during reaction progress is different. Features of both reactions are discussed.

There is much current activity in the use of infrared lasers as a specific energy source for inducing chemical reactions¹⁻⁵. While a number of published examples demonstrating the utility of this method in isomerization and degradation (refs⁵⁻⁷) appears to hold great promise for synthetic chemistry, the prospects for conducting synthesis by this method still await further effort and convenient method of laser-induced homogeneous pyrolysis⁸ appears not to be purposely used for inducing high temperature chemistry of organic compounds.

In a recent communication⁹ on the cw CO₂ laser-induced decomposition of hexafluoroacetone (HFA) sensitized by sulfur hexafluoride, SF₆ we reported new route for HFA decomposition: apart from perfluorinated hydrocarbons and minor amounts of carbon monoxide and trifluoroacetyl fluoride HFA yielded mainly carbonyl fluoride whose formation was favored with higher SF₆ concentration. The formation of COF₂ was therein discussed and inferences stressed a need for further data on this or a similar system. In this paper we report the interaction of HFA with cw CO₂ laser radiation sensitized with boron trichloride, BCl₃ and present study of the behavior of HFA at temperatures higher (950-2100°C) than those under which the thermolysis of HFA was earlier studied¹⁰.

EXPERIMENTAL

Instrumentation and analytical techniques. All experiments were performed in a reaction vessel consisting of a cylindrical Simax glass tube (10 cm path length and 3 cm inner diameter) fitted with NaCl windows and three vacuum P.T.F.E. stopcocks. A continuous-wave CO_2 laser was used for all experiments. The P(34) line of the $00^\circ1-10^\circ0$ transition (931 cm⁻¹) was chosen for a vibrational excitation of boron trichloride. Irradiation with the P(34) line was found not to excite hexafluoroacetone alone. The laser output used for the irradiation was 8 W and the radiation was in all runs totally absorbed. Irradiation intervals were adjusted to suit kinetic measurements. Samples for laser irradiation were prepared by standard vacuum-line technique; work with boron trichloride demanded halocarbon grease to be used.

The pyrolyses were carried out in a half-liter bulb by allowing hexafluoroacetone to contact hot tungsten filament. The filament was maintained at temperatures from 950 up to 2 100°C by applying an a.c. potential. The temperature was measured with an optical pyrometer. The runs were mainly 30-60 s duration and the reaction mixture was thereafter pumped out into a spectroscopic cell for the infrared spectral analysis.

A Chrom 3 N chromatograph employing a thermal conductivity detector and equipped with 2 m columns packed with Porapak S was used for analyses of the HFA decomposition products. The conditions of analyses were chosen to be close to those described in reference¹¹. The presence of COF₂, CO, CF₃COF, and perfluorinated hydrocarbons (as C_2F_6 , C_3F_6 and C_3F_8) was ascertained on the basis of retention times for the pure compounds.

Reactant (hexafluoroacetone or trifluoroacetyl fluoride) disappearance and product (carbonyl fluoride, trifluoroacetyl fluoride and carbon monoxide) appearance were monitored using a Perkin-Elmer Model 621 infrared spectrometer. The products were identified by comparison of their infrared spectra with those of authentic samples. Partial pressures of HFA, CF₃COF, COF₂ and CO during experiments were calculated from the ascertained absorptivity-pressure relationship at suitable v_1 wavelengths (for HFA 1 807 cm⁻¹, for CF₃COF 1 895 cm⁻¹, for COF₂ 1 945 cm⁻¹, and for CO 2 165 cm⁻¹). The absorptivity for CF₃COF at 1 895 cm⁻¹ interfered with the v_1 absorption band of COF₂; analysis of the latter compound was possible because of the knowledge of the shape of the v_1 COF, absorption band.

Reagents. Hexafluoroacetone (Fluka AG, Buchs), sulfur hexafluoride (Montedison, Milano, I.E.C. standard) and boron trichloride (Matheson) were commercial products and were used without further purification.

Trifluoroacetyl fluoride was prepared by a treatment of trichloroacetyl fluoride obtained¹² by the reaction of trifluoroacetic acid with phosphorus pentachloride. A 100 ml flask equipped with magnetic stirrer, thermometer, and a dry ice-acetone Dewar type reflux condenser with the mixture kept at -50° C was rinsed by and kept under dry nitrogen while sodium fluoride (6 g, 0.14 mol) and dry acetonitrile (30 ml) were placed inside. The flask was cooled to -10° C and trifluoroacetyl chloride (8 g, 0.07 mol) was bubled through the mixture. The flask was permitted to warm to 0°C with the introduction of the acid chloride and was maintained at this temperature during the entire addition. The dry ice-acetone mixture at -50° C was sufficient to condense unreacted CF₃COCl and return it back to the CH₃CN solution but allowed the CF₃COF formed to pentrate through the Dewar condenser into a trap immersed in liquid nitrogen. The reaction was considered to be finished when no evolution of hydrogen chloride was observed. The trap contents were twice distilled to give 3 g (0.03 mol) of very pure trifluoroacetyl fluoride (44% yield).

Carbonyl fluoride was obtained by the procedure reported¹³. Carbon monoxide was purchased from Chemické závody Sokolov.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Laser-induced decomposition of HFA sensitized with BCl₃ obeys, similarly as that sensitized with SF₆, first-order kinetics. Apart from perfluorinated hydrocarbons as C_2F_6 , C_3F_6 , and C_3F_8 and minor amounts of boron halides BCl_nF_{3-n} only CF₃COF and CO are formed at lower concentration of boron trichloride in the initial HFA— —BCl₃ mixture (Table I). Formation of COF₂ and CO becomes increasingly important with greater BCl₃ concentration while formation of CF₃COF becomes disfavored. The constant product ratio holds for the entire decomposition and allows to depict the decomposition as a three-fold branched reaction (Scheme 1).

$$BCl_3 \xrightarrow{hb\nu} BCl_3^* \xrightarrow{CF_3COCF_3} CF_3COCF_3^*$$

$$C_2 F_6 + CO$$
 (A)

$$CF_3COCF_3^* \longrightarrow CF_3COF + :CF_2$$
 (B)

 \rightarrow COF₂ + 2 :CF₂ (C)

Scheme 1

The results should appear consistent with a temperature decrease in a reaction zone with increasing BCl₃ pressure, because activation energy of the reaction (A) is lower¹⁰ than that of the reaction (B); the fact that COF_2 is also favored may indicate, similarly as in the case of the decomposition of HFA sensitized with SF₆ (ref.⁹), a specific reaction channel for its formation, since no such a mode of HFA decomposition at temperatures lower than 500°C is known. However, higher BCl₃ concentration in the HFA–BCl₃ initial mixture must result in higher temperature in the reaction (C) could thus be understood in terms of a preferential storage of vibrational energy within BCl₃ molecules and the assumption that highly vibrationally excited BCl₃ molecules produce COF_2 under collisions with HFA carbon monoxide. Such a use of the relative extent of the reactions (A) and (B) with different activation energy as a chemical thermometer is however hampered by the possibility of the occurrence of reaction (D).

$$COF_2 \rightarrow CO + 2F$$
 (D)

The possibility of a CO formation from COF_2 in the course of the laser-induced HFA decomposition cannot be rejected despite that the COF_2 laser-induced decomposition into CO was found in the presence of BCl₃ (and SF₆ as well) much slower process than the laser-induced formation of CO from HFA. The reason is that CO may be produced by the latter process from chemically activated COF_2 .

CO2 Laser-induced and BCl3-Sensitized Decomposition of Hexafluoroacetone

Laser-induced^a decomposition of hexafluoroacetone sensitized with BCla

In order to know whether also very high temperatures can induce formation of COF_2 from HFA we checked behaviour of HFA at temperature higher than those under which thermochemistry of this compound was reported¹⁰. The results summarized in Table II do show COF₂ as a product of the thermal decomposition of HFA proceeding at temperatures 1 200°C and higher. Its yield is favored with increasing temperature. In fact, the increasing temperature disfavors formation of CF₃COF and promotes formation of both COF₂ and CO, that of the latter being facilitated more. The dependence of the reaction products (CO, CF₃COF, and COF₂) distribution upon the progress of the HFA decomposition (Fig. 1) at 1 250°C shows that

Molar	Total pressure kPa	Relative product composition ^e			
 of BCl ₃ ^b		COF ₂	CF ₃ COF	СО	
13	6.1	0	1.0	3.8	
61	5.5	1	0.39	3.1	
70	5.3	1	0.25	6.7	
83	8.0	1	0.10	8.7	

^a Laser output 8 W; all energy absorbed. ^b In HFA-BCl₃ system. ^c The ratios are not affected

by the decomposition progress.

TABLE II

TABLE I

High-temperature decomposition of hexafluoroacetone^a

	Temperature ^b °C	Conversion %	Products, kPa			
			COF ₂	CF ₃ COF	со	
	950	22	0.03	0.17	0.39	
	1 200	54	0.22	0.26	0.91	
	1 500	78	0.46	0.13	1.43	
	1 800	89	0.55	0.08	1.70	
	2 100	100	0.66	0.02	1.86	
	2 100 ^c	50	0.46	0.46	1.70	

^a 2.7 kPa HFA, reaction time 30 s; ^b \pm 50°C; ^c HFA-SF₆ mixture (both 2.7 kPa).

Collection Czechoslovak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 47] [1982]

both CO and COF₂ steadily increase in amount, while CF₃COF reaches its maximum at the earlier reaction stage and then becomes to be consumed probably *via* its decomposition¹⁰ to COF₂ and :CF₂. COF₂ and C₂F₄ are formed in almost equimolar amounts, which is also roughly true for C₂F₆ and CO. The prolonged heating of the final reaction mixture at 1 250°C does not lead to a decrease of the amount of COF₂ and, dissimilarly to the SF₆ or BCl₃ sensitized, laser-induced reactions, the COF₂: :CF₃COF ratio markedly varies during this reaction course (Fig. 1). All these facts suggest that the main routes if the high temperature decomposition of HFA can be written as in Scheme 2.

$$CF_{3}COCF_{3} \xrightarrow{(A)} C_{2}F_{6} + CO$$

$$\xrightarrow{(A)} C_{2}F_{6} + CO$$

$$\xrightarrow{(B)} CF_{3}COF \xrightarrow{(E)} COF_{2} \xrightarrow{(D)} CO + 2 F_{2}$$

Scheme 2

The effect of increasing temperature in high-temperature thermolysis of HFA or the effect of the increasing BCl₃/HFA initial ratio in the laser-induced process upon the product distribution (Table I and II) are alike. The constant COF₂:CF₃COF product ratio during the entire reaction course in the laser-induced process cannot, however, be in accord with the successive reaction presumed to be valid for the thermal process (Scheme 2, sequence (B), (E)).

There appears that the effect of increasing partial pressure of the sensitizers $(BCl_3$ or $SF_6)$ in the HFA-sensitizer initial mixtures is for each sensitizer used displayed





Dependence of products distribution (CO 1, COF₂ 2 and CF₃COF 3) upon reaction progress in HFA ($5\cdot3$ kPa) decomposition at 1 250°C differently. With SF₆ only promotion of COF₂ (reaction (*C*)) is highly favored⁹, while with BCl₃ both the reactions (*C*) and (*A*) are facilitated. BCl₃ may be, contrarily to SF₆, capable of effective chemical interaction with HFA, since the interactions of ketones with Lewis acids are well known. To reconcile a chemical involvement of the BCl₃ with the reaction, scheme offered appears, however, at the present not easy task. Nevertheless, the data presented here and in ref.⁹ not only approve convenience of the laser-induced sensitized decompositions, but also indicate possibility of dissimilarity of this process from conventionally conducted thermal reactions, which, perhaps may be attributed to intermolecular near-resonant *V-V* vibrational energy transfer between sensitizer and compound to be decomposed.

Our thanks are due to J. Vitek for technical assistance and Dr Z. Papoušková for measurement of some infrared spectra.

REFERENCES

- 1. Letokhov V. S.: Science 180, 451 (1953).
- 2. Knudtson J. T., Eyring E. M.: Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 25, 255 (1974).
- 3. Karlov N. V.: Appl. Opt. 13, 301 (1974).
- Basov N. G., Oraevsky A. N., Pankratov A. V. in the book: Chemical and Biochemical Applications of Lasers (C. B. More, Ed.), Ch. 7. Academic Press, New York 1974.
- 5. Panfilov V. N., Molin Y. N.: Usp. Khim. 47, 967 (1978).
- Grunwald E., Dever D. F., Keehn P. M.: Megawatt Infrared Laser Chemistry. J. Wiley, New York 1978.
- 7. Bloembergen N., Yablonovitch E.: Phys. Today 1978, 23.
- 8. Shaub W. M., Bauer S. H.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 7, 509 (1975).
- 9. Pola J., Engst P., Horák M.: This Journal 46, 1254 (1981).
- 10. Batey W., Trenwith A. B.: J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 1388.
- 11. Bright R. N., Matula R. A.: J. Chromatogr. 35, 217 (1968).
- 12. Müller W. T., Bergman E., Fainberg A. H.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 79, 4159 (1957).
- 13. Fawcett F. S., Tullock C. W., Coffman D. D.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 84, 4275 (1962).

Translated by the author (J. P.).